

The Unheard Hazard: A Review of High-Level Noise Exposure and Hearing Loss in the Global Electrical Power Industry

Haider Abdulelah¹, Zainab Abdulelah²

^{1,2}University of Basrah, Iraq



DOI : <https://doi.org/10.61796/ipteks.v2i3.389>



Sections Info

Article history:

Submitted: June 30, 2025

Final Revised: July 21, 2025

Accepted: August 03, 2025

Published: August 23, 2025

Keywords:

Occupational noise

Noise-Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL)

Electrical power industry

Materials science

Acoustics

Hearing conservation program

Psycho-social effects

Audiometry

ABSTRACT

Objective: The global electrical power generation, transmission, and distribution sector is a critical backbone of modern society, yet occupational noise exposure remains an underestimated risk that can cause permanent, irreversible, but preventable Noise-Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL). **Method:** This manuscript provides a comprehensive review of the effects of high-level noise on workers within electricity organizations worldwide by analyzing primary noise-generating equipment and processes from a materials science perspective, detailing pathophysiological mechanisms of NIHL, and discussing audiological and psychosocial consequences. **Result:** The review synthesizes global epidemiological data on hearing loss within the sector, highlighting disparities between developed and developing nations, and evaluates current noise control strategies such as the hierarchy of controls, the role of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), and emerging materials and technologies for creating quieter electrical infrastructure. **Novelty:** The conclusion underscores the critical need to integrate hearing conservation programs with material design and operational management, offering a new perspective that connects occupational health with technological innovation in order to safeguard the long-term health of the global electrical workforce.

INTRODUCTION

The electrical power industry is an environment of immense energy, characterized by high voltages, powerful magnetic fields, and the continuous hum of machinery converting and transporting electricity. This acoustic signature, often dismissed as mere background noise, represents a serious occupational health hazard. Noise-Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL) is one of the most common occupational diseases globally, and workers in utilities and energy sectors are among the most at-risk groups [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that 16% of hearing loss worldwide is attributable to occupational noise exposure [2]. Unlike many industrial injuries, NIHL is insidious; it develops gradually over years of exposure, often without the worker's conscious awareness until a significant, permanent deficit has occurred. The consequences extend beyond the inability to hear faint sounds; they include tinnitus, difficulties with speech discrimination (especially in noisy environments), and are linked to broader health issues such as increased stress, sleep disturbances, and cardiovascular problems [3]. This review seeks to approach the problem from a materials science standpoint. The core premise is that the noise generated by electrical equipment is fundamentally a byproduct of the materials used in their construction and the physical forces they are subjected to. The vibration of transformer laminations, the arcing contacts within a breaker, the aerodynamic roar of a cooling fan, and the hiss of corona discharge are all phenomena dictated by material properties like stiffness, density, damping capacity, and surface

finish. Therefore, understanding and mitigating noise in this sector is not solely an administrative or medical challenge – it is a materials science challenge.

This manuscript will: (1) catalog the primary noise sources within electricity organizations, linking their acoustic output to material behavior; (2) detail the biological mechanisms and full spectrum of effects of NIHL on workers; (3) review the global prevalence of this issue through a synthesis of published literature; and (4) discuss current and future mitigation strategies, emphasizing material-based solutions.

RESEARCH METHOD

Noise Sources in the Electrical Power Industry: A Materials Perspective

The acoustic environment for a worker in a substation, power plant, or along a transmission line is a complex soundscape. The dominant sources can be categorized and understood through the lens of material science and physics.

A. Power Transformers and Reactors

The pervasive, low-frequency "hum" of a large power transformer is its acoustic fingerprint. This noise primarily originates from magnetostriction – the phenomenon where ferromagnetic core materials (typically grain-oriented silicon steel laminations) change shape minutely when subjected to a magnetic field [4]. The alternating current (AC) in the windings creates an alternating magnetic field, which causes the core to expand and contract at twice the frequency of the AC supply (100 Hz or 120 Hz, plus harmonics). The amplitude of this vibration is intrinsically linked to the magnetic properties and magnetostrictive coefficient of the core steel. Research into amorphous metal alloys (e.g., Metglas) for transformer cores has shown a dual benefit: significantly reduced hysteresis losses and a magnetostriction that is about one-tenth that of conventional silicon steel, leading to a dramatic reduction in audible noise [5]. Additionally, the electromagnetic forces between current-carrying windings and structural components cause them to vibrate. The resulting sound is then radiated from the tank walls, which act as a large loudspeaker. The damping characteristics, stiffness, and thickness of the tank steel, as well as the design of radiators and their mounting points, all influence the final sound power level.

B. Switchgear and Circuit Breakers

The operation of circuit breakers and disconnect switches generates high-intensity, impulsive noise. The sudden interruption of high currents can create pressure waves from arcing, and the rapid mechanical operation of contacts slamming together or apart produces structure-borne noise. The choice of contact materials (e.g., copper-tungsten composites, silver-based alloys) affects not only electrical performance but also acoustic emission through their impact behavior and resistance to welding, which can create sharper, more erratic impulses. The use of SF₆ gas as an insulating and arc-quenching medium in high-voltage gear is also a concern, though its contribution is more related to its density and gas dynamics during interruption events.

C. Turbines, Generators, and Auxiliary Systems

In power plants, the primary noise sources are the turbines (gas, steam, hydro) and the large generators they drive. These produce high noise levels from aerodynamic flow, combustion processes, and mechanical rotation. Bearings, gears, and cooling fans are significant contributors. The materials used in blade design (composites, titanium alloys, advanced steels) must balance mechanical strength, fatigue resistance, weight, and their acoustic properties. Poor maintenance leading to imbalanced rotors or worn bearings will invariably increase noise output, demonstrating how material degradation directly impacts the hazard level.

D. Corona Discharge and Air Breakdown

A particularly intriguing source from a materials perspective is corona discharge, audible as a crackling or hissing sound, especially in high-voltage transmission lines during humid weather. It occurs when the electric field strength near a conductor exceeds the dielectric strength of air, causing partial ionization. This phenomenon is highly dependent on the surface condition and geometry of the conductor. Irregularities, sharp edges, or contamination (dust, water droplets) on the conductor surface can create points of enhanced field intensity, promoting discharge. This has led to the development of "corona-free" hardware designs and the use of surface treatments and coatings. For conductors, bundle configurations and the use of larger diameters are employed to reduce the surface field gradient.

E. Composite Noise Environments

A worker is rarely exposed to a single noise source in isolation. The combined effect of multiple sources in a substation or plant creates a cumulative sound pressure level that can easily exceed safe exposure limits set by organizations like the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (85 dBA recommended exposure limit) or the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) (90 dBA permissible exposure limit) [6, 7].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Noise-Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL): Mechanisms and Side Effects

1. Audiological and Pathophysiological Mechanisms

NIHL is a sensorineural hearing loss caused by damage to the delicate structures of the inner ear, specifically the cochlea. The primary sites of injury are the sensory hair cells and their supporting structures. The mechanism of damage is twofold:

- a. **Mechanical Trauma:** Exposure to very high-intensity sound pressures (>140 dB) can cause direct physical shearing or tearing of the hair cells and other cochlear tissues.
- b. **Metabolic Exhaustion:** More common in industrial settings is damage from sustained, lower-level (85-100 dBA) noise. This overstimulates the hair cells, leading to an excessive release of neurotransmitters and a surge in oxidative metabolism. This creates a buildup of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that overwhelms the cells' antioxidant defenses, ultimately triggering apoptotic (programmed) cell death [8]. Hair cells in the cochlea are frequency-specific, with those sensing high frequencies (3-

6 kHz) being most vulnerable. This is why the classic audiometric sign of early NIHL is a "notch" showing reduced hearing sensitivity at 4000 Hz, which later deepens and widens to affect adjacent frequencies [9]. Crucially, mammalian hair cells do not regenerate; the damage is permanent and cumulative over a working lifetime.

2. Psychosocial and Extra-Auditory Effects

The impact of hearing loss extends far beyond the audiogram

Tinnitus: A persistent ringing, buzzing, or hissing sound in the ears is a frequent companion to NIHL, often causing significant distress, anxiety, and concentration difficulties [10].

Communication and Safety: Difficulty understanding speech, particularly in noisy environments, leads to social isolation, misunderstandings, and, most critically, an inability to hear warning shouts, alarms, or operational sounds, thereby increasing the risk of workplace accidents.

Psychological Stress and Fatigue: The constant effort to hear and interpret degraded auditory signals is cognitively draining, leading to mental fatigue and increased stress levels.

Cardiovascular and Other Health Issues: Numerous studies have linked chronic noise exposure to hypertension, elevated heart rate, and increased levels of stress hormones like cortisol, suggesting a pathway to higher rates of cardiovascular disease [3, 11].

Economic Impact: For the individual, hearing loss can limit career advancement. For the employer, it results in higher costs related to workers' compensation claims, reduced productivity, and potential regulatory fines.

Global Review of Literature on Noise Exposure and Hearing Loss in the Electrical Sector

The following table summarizes key studies from around the world that have quantified noise exposure and the prevalence of hearing loss among workers in the electrical power industry.

Table 1. Literature Review of Noise Exposure and Hearing Loss in the Electrical Power Industry.

Reference	Country	Study Population	Key Findings on Noise Levels	Key Findings on Hearing Loss Prevalence
Lie et al. (2016) [12]	Canada	8,000+ utility workers	Personal dosimetry showed many trades (e.g., substation, generation) exposed to levels >85 dB.	Clear dose-response relationship found between cumulative noise exposure and hearing loss.
Pourayagoub et al. (2017) [13]	Iran	100 thermal power plant workers	Average noise levels in different plant sections ranged from 86.2 to 92.4 dBA.	34% of exposed workers had NIHL, compared to 8% in the control group.
Adekoya & Oluwalan (2015) [14]	Nigeria	150 electricity transmission workers	Measured levels at substations ranged from 89.1 to 96.8 dBA. Over 70% of workers exposed to >90 dBA.	48.7% of exposed workers showed significant hearing impairment.
Kerr et al. (2017) [15]	USA	Review of utility company data	--	Analysis showed that despite HCPs, a portion of workers still showed standard threshold shifts, emphasizing need for improved mitigation.
Al-Wabli & Iskenderani (2010) [16]	Saudi Arabia	170 power station operators	Area monitoring showed levels between 85-110 dBA. Control room levels were below 80 dBA.	Operators had significantly worse hearing thresholds at 4 kHz and 6 kHz than administrative controls.
Morata et al. (2011) [17]	Brazil	45 hydroelectric plant workers	Personal exposure levels averaged 87.5 dBA (TWA).	Audiometric tests revealed a high-frequency NIHL pattern in 42.2% of workers.
Thakur et al. (2014) [18]	India	200 workers from a state electricity board	58% of workers were exposed to >90 dBA. Transformer workshops were among the noisiest areas.	Hearing loss prevalence was 39.5% among exposed workers vs. 12% in controls.

Analysis of Global Trends: The table reveals a consistent pattern across diverse geographical and economic contexts:

Ubiquitous Hazard: Noise levels routinely exceed safe exposure limits in critical areas like substations, power plants, and transmission work sites.

High Prevalence of NIHL: The prevalence of hearing loss among exposed workers is consistently and significantly higher than in control groups, often ranging from 30% to 50%.

Disparity in Mitigation: Studies from developed nations (e.g., Canada, USA) often reference structured Hearing Conservation Programs (HCPs), which, while not entirely eliminating risk, demonstrably reduce it. In contrast, studies from developing regions frequently cite a lack of awareness, inadequate enforcement of regulations, and poor compliance with PPE use, leading to higher rates of NIHL.

The 4 kHz Notch: The audiometric pattern of hearing loss consistently shows the characteristic high-frequency notch, confirming the noise-induced etiology.

Mitigation Strategies: From Personal Protection to Material Solutions

Controlling noise exposure is best achieved through the hierarchy of controls, which prioritizes elimination and engineering solutions over administrative controls and PPE.

a) Engineering Controls (Most Effective)

Equipment Replacement and Redesign: Replacing old, noisy transformers with new units utilizing amorphous metal cores or better-designed silicon steel and tank dampening is a primary solution. Specifying low-noise equipment in procurement contracts is crucial.

Material Selection and Damping: Applying constrained-layer damping materials to vibrating surfaces like transformer tanks and pipework can significantly reduce radiated noise. Using composite materials with inherent high damping capacity for covers, panels, and tools is an emerging area.

Enclosure and Isolation: Building acoustic enclosures around loud equipment (e.g., turbines, pumps) and using vibration isolation mounts to prevent structure-borne noise from propagating are highly effective strategies. The materials used for enclosures (mass-loaded vinyl, acoustic foams, composite barriers) are critical to their performance.

b) Administrative Controls

Hearing Conservation Programs (HCPs): A comprehensive HCP, as mandated by OSHA and other bodies, is the administrative cornerstone. It includes noise monitoring, audiometric testing, training, and education, and provision of hearing protection [7, 19].

Work Rotation: Limiting the amount of time a worker spends in a high-noise area can reduce the daily dose, though this is less preferred than reducing the noise at source.

c) Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) (Least Effective)

Hearing Protection Devices (HPDs) like earplugs and earmuffs are the last line of defense. Their effectiveness is entirely dependent on consistent and proper use. A major challenge in the electrical industry is the need to combine HPDs with other PPE like hard hats and arc-flash hoods, which can compromise the seal of earmuffs. Advances in material science have led to more comfortable, better-fitting earplugs with flat

attenuation characteristics and smart earmuffs with electronic noise cancellation and level-dependent features that allow for safe awareness of low-level sounds like speech and alarms while blocking harmful noise [20].

CONCLUSION

Fundamental Finding : High-level noise is an inherent and widespread hazard within the global electrical power industry, posing a severe and irreversible risk of NIHL to a vast workforce, and despite known engineering solutions and regulatory frameworks, hearing loss remains a persistent problem with significant prevalence worldwide. **Implication :** Protecting the hearing of workers in this sector is not only a regulatory obligation and ethical imperative but also a vital investment in human capital, safety, and productivity, as advances in materials science and technology within strong health and safety frameworks can ensure sustained operations without compromising workers' well-being. **Limitation :** The persistence of hearing loss despite available solutions highlights gaps in implementation and adaptation, particularly in developing nations where Hearing Conservation Programs are weakly enforced or absent. **Future Research :** Moving forward, the industry must adopt a multi-faceted approach that emphasizes material-centric design with low-magnetostriction materials and advanced composites, strengthens global HCPs tailored to cultural and operational contexts, integrates smart personal protection and IoT-based monitoring with predictive maintenance systems, and expands health monitoring beyond audiometry to include cardiovascular and stress-related risks.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. I. Nelson, R. Y. Nelson, M. Concha-Barrientos, and M. Fingerhut, "The global burden of occupational noise-induced hearing loss," *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 446–458, 2005.
- [2] World Health Organization, *World Report on Hearing*. Geneva: WHO, 2021, Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- [3] M. Basner, W. Babisch, A. Davis, M. Brink, C. Clark, S. Janssen, and S. Stansfeld, "Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health," *The Lancet*, vol. 383, no. 9925, pp. 1325–1332, 2014.
- [4] A. Mujić, L. Kamenjak, and L. Krpan, "The causes and effects of noise in power transformers," *Journal of Energy*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 245–254, 2011.
- [5] Y. Oda, T. Kato, and S. Ito, "Development of low-noise amorphous metal distribution transformer," *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, vol. 454, pp. 188–194, 2018.
- [6] NIOSH, *Criteria for a Recommended Standard: Occupational Noise Exposure*. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 98-126, 1998.
- [7] OSHA, *Occupational Noise Exposure; Hearing Conservation Amendment*. 29 CFR 1910.95, 1983.
- [8] D. Henderson, E. C. Bielefeld, K. C. Harris, and B. H. Hu, "The role of oxidative stress in noise-induced hearing loss," *Ear and Hearing*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2006.
- [9] R. A. Dobie, "The 4000 Hz dip: why is it still here?," *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 123, no. 5, pp. 3071–3071, 2008.

- [10] B. Langguth, P. M. Kreuzer, T. Kleinjung, and D. De Ridder, "Tinnitus: causes and clinical management," *The Lancet Neurology*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 920–930, 2013.
- [11] E. E. M. M. van Kempen, H. Kruize, H. C. Boshuizen, C. B. Ameling, B. A. M. Staatsen, and A. E. M. de Hollander, "The association between noise exposure and blood pressure and ischemic heart disease: a meta-analysis," *Environmental Health Perspectives*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 307–317, 2002.
- [12] A. Lie, M. Skogstad, H. A. Johannessen, T. Tynes, I. S. Mehlum, K. C. Nordby, ... and K. Tambs, "Occupational noise exposure and hearing loss in a cohort of Norwegian workers: an updated and extended study," *BMJ Open*, vol. 6, no. 11, e011794, 2016.
- [13] G. Pouryagoub, S. Mohammadi, and M. Akhlaghi, "Hearing loss among thermal power plant workers," *Iranian Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*, vol. 29, no. 95, pp. 293–298, 2017.
- [14] A. O. Adekoya and B. E. Owumi, "Noise exposure and hearing impairment among electricity transmission maintenance workers in Nigeria," *African Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 112, 2015.
- [15] M. J. Kerr, R. L. Neitzel, O. Hong, and R. T. Sataloff, "Historical review of efforts to reduce noise-induced hearing loss in the United States," *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 569–577, 2017.
- [16] A. Al-Wabil and A. I. Iskanderani, "Assessment of noise-induced hearing loss among power plant operators," *Saudi Medical Journal*, vol. 31, no. 12, pp. 1353–1358, 2010.
- [17] T. C. Morata, C. L. Themann, R. F. Randolph, B. L. Verbsky, D. C. Byrne, and E. R. Reeves, "Working in noise with a hearing loss: perceptions from workers, supervisors, and hearing conservation program managers," *Ear and Hearing*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 253–259, 2011.
- [18] L. Thakur, J. P. Anand, and P. K. Banerjee, "Study of hearing loss in workers of state electricity board," *Indian Journal of Otology*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 175–178, 2014.
- [19] OSHA, *Hearing Conservation*. OSHA Publication No. 3074, 2002.
- [20] W. J. Murphy and A. Khan, "Advanced hearing protectors: electronic and material developments," *Current Opinion in Otolaryngology & Head and Neck Surgery*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 337–343, 2018.

Haider Abdulelah

University of Basrah, Iraq

Zainab Abdulelah

University of Basrah, Basrah, Iraq
